## **ERRATA NOTICE**

**I.** A sentence on page 199 of the article "The Private Language Argument: Another Footnote to Plato?" authored by Arnold Cusmariu and published in *Symposion. Theoretical and Applied Inquiries in Philosophy and Social Sciences* 9, 2 (2022): 191-222 contained a typographical error. The original sentence read:

"We should focus on the details of his contribution and asses rigorously whether it has philosophical merit."

It should have read:

"We should focus on the details of his contribution and assess rigorously whether it has philosophical merit."

**II.** A paragraph on page 205 of the article "The Private Language Argument: Another Footnote to Plato?" authored by Arnold Cusmariu and published in *Symposion, Theoretical and Applied Inquiries in Philosophy and Social Sciences* 9, 2 (2022): 191-222, contains erroneous information that came to light after the article was published. The original paragraph read:

"Grammatical Comment: 'Bedarf' is a (masculine) noun in German, so the word should have been written with a capital 'B' in the original because nouns are capitalized in German, e.g. 'Kriterion.' Perhaps it's a typo; perhaps the text was intended to read 'bedarft' meaning 'requires.' Accordingly, I propose this:"

It should have read:

**"Translation Comment**: 'Bedarf' is the 3rd person singular present tense indicative active form of 'bedürfen.' So, while the standard translation 'stands in need of will work, it is preferable to translate in a way that clarifies the connection to the all-important term 'criterion.' Accordingly, I propose this:"